

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,249	06/25/2003		Gregory A. Merkel	SP03-071	4924	
22928	7590	05/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORNING	INCOR	PORATED	GREENE, JASON M			
SP-TI-3-1 CORNING,	SP-11-3-1 CORNING, NY 14831			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1724		
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/607,249	MERKEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason M. Greene	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-84</u> is/are pending in the application	١.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74-80</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>81-84</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2005 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	,	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		and .					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/06</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/607,249 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The affidavit filed on 9 February 2006 under 37 CFR 1.130 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Merkel '198 reference. The Examiner notes that a declaration or affidavit establishing common ownership can only be relied upon to disqualify a commonly owned patent when the claims are rejected under 35 USC 103. Since claims 74-78 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e), the affidavit is ineffective to disqualify the Merkel '198 reference as prior art.

This Examiner further notes that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 74-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Merkel '198 (U.S. Patent 6,864,198 B2).

Art Unit: 1724

The applied reference has a common assignee and a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With regard to claims 74 and 75, Merkel '198 discloses a diesel particulate filter comprising a plugged wall-flow honeycomb ceramic body composed of cordierite and having a plurality of parallel end-plugged cell channels traversing the body from a frontal inlet end to an outlet end thereof, wherein the filter exhibits a CTE (25-800 0 C) of (8.1X10 $^{-7}$ / 0 C, a bulk filter density of 0.489 g/cm 3 , a median pore diameter d₅₀ of 9.69 µm, a porosity of 62.51% and pore size distribution such that P_m (P_f) = 2.85, wherein d₁₀ and d₉₀ are pore diameters at 10% and 90% of the pore size distribution on a volumetric basis, and d₁₀<d₅₀<d₉₀ in Example 2 of Table 3 in columns 11 and 12 and col. 1, line 65 to col. 3, line 55.

With regard to the bulk filter density, Merkel '198 teaches the honeycomb filter of Example 2 having a wall thickness of 0.0155 (0.0292 cm) and a cell number of 182 cells per inch² (28.2 cells per cm²) in Table 3. From the cell number, the number of cell walls per square cm can be calculated as 2 * (28.2 cells per cm²)^{1/2} = 10.62. As was noted in the previous Office action, cordierite has a specific gravity of 2.52 g/cm³. From the

Art Unit: 1724

specific gravity of cordierite and the porosity, the density can be calculated as 10.62 cell walls/cm2 * 0.0292 cm * 1 cm length * 2.52 g/cm³ * 62.51% porosity = 0.489 g/cm³, which is less than 0.60 g/cm³.

With regard to claims 76-78, Merkel '198 discloses a diesel particulate filter comprising a plugged wall-flow honeycomb ceramic body composed of cordierite and having a plurality of parallel end-plugged cell channels traversing the body from a frontal inlet end to an outlet end thereof, wherein the filter exhibits a CTE (25-800 0 C) of (8.1X10 $^{-7}$ / 0 C, a bulk filter density of 0.489 g/cm 3 , a median pore diameter d₅₀ of 9.69 µm, a porosity of 62.51% and pore size distribution such that P_m (P_f) = 2.85, wherein d₁₀ and d₉₀ are pore diameters at 10% and 90% of the pore size distribution on a volumetric basis, and d₁₀<d₅₀<d₉₀ and wherein d₉₀ is 16.35 µm in Example 2 of Table 3 in columns 11 and 12 and col. 1, line 65 to col. 3, line 55.

With regard to claims 79 and 80, Merkel '198 discloses a diesel particulate filter comprising a plugged wall-flow honeycomb ceramic body composed of cordierite and having a plurality of parallel end-plugged cell channels traversing the body from a frontal inlet end to an outlet end thereof, wherein the filter exhibits a CTE (25-800 0 C) of (5.5X10 $^{-7}$ / 0 C, a bulk filter density of 0.453 g/cm 3 , a median pore diameter d₅₀ of 12.2 µm, a porosity of 58.4% and pore size distribution such that P_m (P_f) = 3.29, wherein d₁₀ and d₉₀ are pore diameters at 10% and 90% of the pore size distribution on a volumetric

Art Unit: 1724

basis, and d_{10} < d_{50} < d_{90} in Example 7 of Table 3 in columns 11-14 and col. 1, line 65 to col. 3, line 55.

With regard to the bulk filter density, Merkel '198 teaches the honeycomb filter of Example 7 having a wall thickness of 0.0155 (0.0292 cm) and a cell number of 179 cells per inch² (27.75 cells per cm²) in Table 3. From the cell number, the number of cell walls per square cm can be calculated as 2 * (27.75 cells per cm²)¹¹² = 10.53. As was noted in the previous Office action, cordierite has a specific gravity of 2.52 g/cm³. From the specific gravity of cordierite and the porosity, the density can be calculated as 10.53 cell walls/cm² * 0.0292 cm * 1 cm length * 2.52 g/cm³ * 58.4 % porosity = 0.453 g/cm³, which is less than 0.60 g/cm³.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 81-84 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art made of record does not teach or fairly suggest the filters of claim 76 having the additional characteristics recited in claims 81-84.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,249 Page 6

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Greene whose telephone number is (571) 272-1157. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 AM to 5:30 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/607,249 Page 7

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Greene
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

//28/06

imq

April 28, 2006